arXiv:1704.07250v1 [gr-qc] 24 Apr 2017

Singularity stars

Ntina Savvidou*and Charis Anastopoulos†
Department of Physics, University of Patras, 26500 Greece

April 25, 2017

Abstract

We analyse a new class of static spherically symmetric solutions to Einstein's equations that intermediate between neutron stars and black holes. These solutions share the same equation of state with neutron stars, but they are characterized by a conical spacelike singularity at the center; hence the name 'singularity stars'. Geodesics are extendible across the singularity, so the singularity does not lead to any pathological behavior. It is best described as an irreducible spacetime boundary. As such it must be assigned to an entropy, so that the total entropy is a sum of matter entropy and of singularity entropy. We evaluate the latter by using methods that have been developed for black hole thermodynamics, namely, Euclidean Quantum Gravity and Wald's Noether charge approach. Then we use the maximum-entropy principle, in order to show that singularity stars define a stable thermodynamic phase for stellar masses above the Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit, in exactly the same way that neutron stars define a stable thermodynamic phase for masses below the Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit.

1 Introduction

When the nuclear fuel of a star is exhausted, the star ejects a large part of its mass. The remnant settles to an equilibrium state and becomes a *compact star*. Compact stars correspond to stationary solutions of Einstein's equations. In particular, black holes are solutions to vacuum Einstein's equations; white dwarves and neutron stars are solutions to Einstein's equations with matter.

Ignoring rotation, a compact star is described by a static spherically symmetric metric

$$ds^2 = -L^2(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1-\frac{2m(r)}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \tag{1}$$

*ksavvidou@upatras.gr
†anastop@physics.upatras.gr

expressed in terms of the lapse function $L(r)$ and the mass function $m(r)$.

Let the stellar surface be located at $r = r_B > 0$. For $r \geq r_B$, the metric Eq. (1) is of the Schwarzschild type, i.e., $m(r) = M$ and $L(r) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}$, where $M = m(r_B)$ is the stellar mass. For $r < r_B$, the metric satisfies the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{(\rho + P)(m + 4\pi r^3 P)}{r^2(1 - \frac{2m}{r})}, \tag{2}$$

where ρ is the density and P is the pressure of stellar matter. The TOV equation is supplemented by equations for the mass and lapse functions,

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{1}{L}\frac{dL}{dr} = -\frac{1}{\rho + P}\frac{dP}{dr}. \tag{4}$$

In compact stars, no fuel is burning, hence, the relation between P and ρ can be expressed in terms of an *equilibrium* Equation of State (EoS). We assume that stellar matter consists of k particle species. Then, the density and the pressure can be expressed as functions $\rho = \rho(T, b_a)$ and $P = P(T, b_a)$ of the local temperature T and of $b_a := \mu_a/T$; μ_a is the chemical potential associated to the a-th species ($a = 1, 2, \ldots, k$). This representation of the EoS is most convenient since it has been proved that in thermal equilibrium all b_a are constants in the stellar interior—see, Refs. [1, 2] and also the Appendix A. Then, Eq. (4) implies Tolman's law: $LT = T_\infty$, where T_∞ is a constant. T_∞ coincides with the temperature of the stellar surface, as measured by an observer at infinity.

Solutions to Eqs. (2—4), subject to the stellar boundary condition $P(r_B) = 0$, are uniquely determined by the two variables M and r_B, together with the constants b_a. However, the variables (M, r_B, b_a) are not thermodynamically independent [2]. As a result, not all solutions to Eqs. (2—4) are physical. Physical solutions are identified by an *additional* requirement. In the current theory of compact stars, this requirement is the *regularity condition*, namely, the assertion that the spacetime geometry is everywhere locally Minkowskian (i.e., regular). This is possible only if $m(0) = 0$.

Regular solutions have finite pressure P_0 at $r = 0$. For any value of P_0, Eqs. (2—4) are integrated from the center outwards. Hence, the stellar surface $r = r_B$ is defined as the first zero of the pressure function $P(r)$ and the stellar mass is defined as $M := m(r_B)$. It turns out that there is an upper bound M_{max} to the mass of regular solutions, for any given EoS. The Chandrasekhar limit for white dwarves and the Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit (OVL) for neutron stars are special cases of this bound. It is widely believed that final states of gravitational collapse with $M > M_{max}$ are black holes—so far no proof exists.

The regularity condition leads to a successful theory for the structure of compact stars but it is conceptually problematic. Unlike the early days of general relativity,

today we readily accept the physical relevance of solutions to Einstein's equations with singularities (i.e., black holes, the Big Bang), even if we agree that the singularities must somehow be removed/justified by a more fundamental theory. In what follows, we will show that the singular (i.e., non-regular) solutions of Eqs. (2—4) have *no pathological behavior* as far as causality and predictability are concerned. Thus, their *a priori* exclusion is *ad hoc*, and it requires further justification.

Moreover, if General Realtivity emerges as the macroscopic limit of a quantum gravity theory, then geometry fluctuations (e.g., Wheeler's spacetime foam) should be taken into account. There is no reason why the fluctuations should not also include singular geometries, especially if such geometries are well behaved. Then, the stability of the regular solutions under such fluctuations becomes an important issue. In thermodynamic systems, stability is implemented by the *maximum-entropy principle* (MEP), namely, the fact that the equilibrium configuration is the *global* maximum of entropy, with respect to all variations of unconstrained variables [3].

One of the aims of this paper is to show that the MEP leads to a first-principles justification of the regularity condition. Indeed, we will show that for $M \leq M_{max}$ the global maxima of entropy correspond to regular solutions. More importantly, we will show that the MEP distinguishes physical solutions even for $M > M_{max}$. Thus, we prove that there exist singular solutions that are thermodynamically stable. Since these solutions describe stars in which matter coexists with a singularity, we call them *singularity stars*.

We emphasize that singularity stars follow from the very same set of equations that describe neutron stars, with no additional assumptions about the form of matter at high densities or the postulate of new gravity theories. In their derivation, we employ only standard General Relativity, augmented by concepts from black hole thermodynamics.

The key point is that the singular solutions to the TOV equations are characterized by a conical singularity at the center. The singularity is mild, in the sense that it does not involve any non-extendible causal geodesics. It is best described as a spacetime boundary. As such entropy can be assigned to it, so that the total entropy of a singular solution is a sum of matter entropy and singularity entropy. The singularity entropy is derived—up to a multiplicative constant λ—by well-tested methods for evaluating the entropy associated to spacetime boundaries in black hole thermodynamics, namely, Euclidean Quantum Gravity [4, 5] and Wald's Noether charge approach [6]. The multiplicative constant is determined by comparison with a simple model where this idea was first implemented [7].

The models we consider in this paper ignore significant features of actual compact stars, such as rotation and magnetic fields. However, the results stated above are robust, in the sense that they follow from minimal assumptions, and do not depend on a specific choice of EoS. They appear as a generic feature of self-gravitating systems in thermodynamic equilibrium. Keeping in mind the fact that the original prediction of compact stars came from equally simple models, our results provide a good *prima facie* argument for the existence of singularity stars.

The structure of this paper is the following. In Sec. 2, we study the properties

of singular solutions to the TOV and identify the singularity entropy. In Sec. 3, we implement the MEP for a simple equation of state in order to demonstrate the emergence of the regularity condition and the existence of singularity stars. In Sec. 4 we summarize and discuss our results. The paper also contains three appendices. The appendix A summarizes general properties of self-gravitating systems, the appendix B contains a detailed derivation of the singularity entropy from Euclidean Quantum Gravity, and in the appendix C we present further examples of our results in terms of various EoS.

2 Thermodynamics of singular solutions

In this section, we first examine the properties of singular solutions to the TOV equations and then we provide an appropriate definition of entropy that will be used in the implementation of the MEP.

2.1 Geometry of singular solutions

Next, we study solutions to the TOV equation (2) and the supplementary equations (3) and (4). They are expressed in terms of static, spherically symmetric metrics of the form Eq. (1), in terms of a lapse function $L(r)$ and a mass function $m(r)$.

First, we consider solutions with $m(0) = 0$. For a finite value of $\rho_0 := \rho(0)$, Eq. (3) implies that in the vicinity of $r = 0$, $m(r) = \frac{4\pi\rho_0}{3}r^3$. Substituting into Eq. (4), we find that near $r = 0$, $L(r) = L_0[1 + \frac{2\pi r^2}{3}(\rho_0 + 3P_0)]$, where $P_0 = P(0)$ and $L_0 = L(0)$. This implies that the metric is locally Minkowskian everywhere, including $r = 0$. For this reasons, these solutions are denoted as regular.

Singular solutions correspond to non-zero values of $m(0)$. These solutions are less well known than regular ones, some particular cases have been studied in Refs. [8, 7, 9, 10]. A positive value of $m(0)$ implies the existence of a horizon where $2m(r) = r$, for some value of r. However, it has been proven that the integration of the TOV equation from the boundary inwards does not encounter a horizon, provided some rather mild conditions are satisfied by the EoS [11]. Hence, no positive value of $m(0)$ is consistent with the existence of a stellar surface. For this reason, we only consider solutions with $m(0) = -M_0$, for $M_0 > 0$. Substituting into Eq. (4), we find that near $\frac{1}{L}\frac{dL}{dr} = -\frac{1}{2r}$; hence,

$$L(r) = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{r}}, \tag{5}$$

for some constant $\eta > 0$. Thus, around $r = 0$, the metric (1) becomes

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\eta^2}{r}dt^2 + \frac{rdr^2}{\sqrt{2M_0}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \tag{6}$$

By Tolman's law, $T(r) \sim \sqrt{r}$, hence, $T(0) = 0$. In general, the limit $T \to 0$ may correspond to either zero or non-zero density and pressure, depending on whether the number density n also goes to zero, or remains finite. For example, in the free Fermi gas, taking $T \to 0$, while keeping n constant leads to the well known expressions for degeneracy pressure and density. If the limits $T \to 0$ and $n \to 0$ are taken together, both density and pressure may vanish. Only the latter case that is compatible with the TOV equation near $r = 0$. To see this, assume that either the density ρ_0 or the pressure P_0 at $r = 0$ is non-zero. Eq. (2) implies that near $r = 0$, $\frac{dP}{dr} = \frac{\rho_0 + P_0}{2r}$, hence, $P(r) = \frac{\rho_0 + P_0}{2} \log r + c$, for some constant c, leading to a contradiction, as $P(0)$ diverges. Thus, $\rho(0) = P(0) = 0$. The stress energy tensor vanishes at $r = 0$ and by Einstein's equations, so does the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$.

The proper radius coordinate corresponding to the metric Eq. (6) is $x = \frac{2}{3} r^{3/2} / \sqrt{2M_0}$. A two-sphere of proper radius x around $r = 0$ has area equal to $4\pi (\frac{9}{2} M_0)^{2/3} x^{4/3}$. This implies that the spacetime is not locally Minkowskian around $r = 0$, since in that case the area should be $4\pi x^2$. Thus, $r = 0$ corresponds to a *conical singularity*.

The acceleration one-form on a static observer near the singularity is

$$a = \frac{d \log L}{dr} dr = -\frac{1}{2r} dr. \tag{7}$$

The proper acceleration $\sqrt{a^\mu a_\mu}$ diverges as $r \to 0$, while the minus sign in Eq. (7) implies that the singularity strongly *repulses* any matter around it.

The geodesic equation near $r = 0$ is

$$\dot{r}^2 = \frac{2M_0 \epsilon^2}{\eta^2} - \frac{2M_0 \sigma}{r} - \frac{2M_0 \ell^2}{r^3}, \tag{8}$$

where ϵ and ℓ are constants. For causal geodesics they correspond to energy per unit mass and angular momentum per unit mass, respectively. The parameter σ takes the value 1 for timelike, -1 for spacelike and 0 for null geodesics The dot denotes derivative with respect to an affine parameter λ.

No timelike geodesics arrive at the singularity. In-falling massive particles reach up to a minimal radius, $r_{min} = (\eta/\epsilon)^2$ and then they bounce back. Only radial null geodesics ($\ell = 0$ and $\sigma = 0$) reach $r = 0$. For such geodesics,$\dot{r} = \pm\sqrt{2M_0}\epsilon/\eta$; hence, $r = \pm\sqrt{2M_0}\epsilon/\eta\lambda + c$, for some constant c. The geodesics are extendible past the singularity: at $r = 0$, radial incoming geodesics become radial outgoing geodesics.

Spacelike geodesics reach the singularity only if $\ell = 0$. In this case, $\frac{2M_0\epsilon^2}{\eta^2}$ is negligible compared to $\frac{2M_0\sigma}{r}$ near $r = 0$. Hence, $r\dot{r}^2 = 2M_0$, with solution $r = (\pm\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2M_0}\lambda + c)^{2/3}$, for some constant c. Again, spacelike geodesics are extendible across the singularity.

It follows from the above that the singular solutions to the TOV equations involve no inextendible geodesics. Thus, they are not pathological as far as causality and predictability are concerned. In fact, the spacetime satisfies at least the minimal conditions for being considered *singularity-free* [12].

2.2 Singularity entropy

The conical singularity at $r = 0$ defines a natural boundary of the spacetime manifold. We propose that there is a finite value of entropy associated to this boundary, analogous to the entropy of spacetime boundaries (horizons) in black hole thermodynamics.

One possible physical interpretation for this entropy is that it accounts for microscopic degrees of freedom beyond general relativity that are covered by the boundary. Let ϵ be a cut-off length scale that characterizes physics beyond general relativity. We denote the spatial two-sphere $r = \epsilon$ by Σ_ϵ. The TOV equation holds outside Σ_ϵ. However, inside Σ_ϵ new degrees of freedom that represent microscopic properties of the spacetime may become important. The effective geometry inside Σ_ϵ may be different from the one predicted by the TOV equation; for example, the conical singularity may be resolved. An external macroscopic observer has no direct access to those microscopic degrees of freedom. However, he or she can describe them in a coarse-grained sense, by assigning an entropy S_ϵ to the boundary Σ_ϵ. If we assume that ϵ is much smaller than any macroscopic length scale that characterizes the geometry, then the singularity entropy S_{sing} is defined as $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} S_\epsilon$.

Therefore, we expect that the entropy of singular solutions to the TOV equation involves two contributions, one from matter and one from the singularity. A first-principles derivation of the singularity entropy S_{sing} would be possible in a complete quantum theory of gravity. In absence of such a theory, we identify S_{sing} using a combination of two existing methods for assigning entropy to spacetime boundaries, namely, Euclidean Quantum Gravity (EQG) [4, 5] and Wald's Noether charge approach [6]. Both methods are remarkably successful in reproducing and generalizing the standard expressions for black hole entropy.

EQG is based on the properties of the (formal) gravitational path integral. It leads to a relation between the Helmholtz free energy F of stationary solutions to Einstein's equations and the associated Euclidean action I_E. Let $I[g, \phi]$ be the action for a metric g and matter fields ϕ. For any stationary solution (g_0, ϕ_0) to Einstein's equations, we define the Euclidean metric g_0^E by substituting the Killing time t with $-i\tau$, where τ is a periodic coordinate with period β. Then, the Helmholtz free energy for (g_0, ϕ_0) is $F = \beta^{-1} I_E[g_0^E, \phi_0]$, where $I_E := -iI$.

In the Appendix B, we provide a detailed evaluation of the Helmholtz free energy F for the solutions to the TOV equation, both regular and singular. To this end, we consider an action functional I that is a sum of two terms $I_{grav} + I_{mat}$. The gravitational term I_{grav} is the Gibbons-Hawking-York action for spacetimes with boundary [5, 13], and the matter term I_{mat} is the Kijowski-Smolski-Gornicka action for gravitating perfect fluids [14]. We find that

$$F = M + T_\infty S_{mat} + \lambda\sqrt{2M_0}\eta. \tag{9}$$

where S_{mat} is the entropy of matter and λ is an undetermined dimensionless constant. EQG cannot determine the value of λ, because it depends on the explicit form of counter-terms in the gravitational action. In principle, λ should be determined by a

proper definition of the gravitational path integral that would identify the relevant counter-terms over the full state space of General Relativity.

For regular solutions, $M_0 = 0$. Then, Eq. (63) reduces to the usual thermodynamic identity for the Helmholtz free energy, $F = M + T_\infty S_{mat}$. This relation persists also for singular solutions, if the last term in Eq. (9) is viewed as a contribution to the total entropy. Thus, the total entropy of a singular solution $S_{tot} = (F - M)/T_\infty$ takes the form

$$S_{tot} = S_{mat} + S_{sing}, \tag{10}$$

where

$$S_{sing} = \lambda \frac{\sqrt{2M_0}\eta}{T_\infty} \tag{11}$$

is the entropy associated to the singularity.

Thus, EQG *verifies* that the singularity contributes a term S_{sing} to the total entropy. However, it can only evaluate S_{sing} up to a multiplicative constant.

Eq. (11) is confirmed by Wald's definition of gravitational entropy in terms of the Noether charge of spacetime diffeomorphisms [6]. Similar to black-hole entropy, the singularity entropy of Eq. (11) can be expressed as $\frac{1}{T_\infty} Q(\xi)$, where

$$Q(\xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \oint_{\Sigma_\epsilon} d\sigma_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\mu \xi^\nu = \lambda \sqrt{2M_0}\eta \tag{12}$$

is the Noether charge associated to the timelike Killing vector $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Again, the charge $Q(\xi)$ is specified only up to a multiplicative constant λ.

The value of λ is determined by the following argument. Near the singularity, the metric depends solely on the parameters M_0 and η. If S_{sing} is of gravitational origin, it depends only on the spacetime geometry near the singularity. Thus, λ is the same for all singular solutions, irrespective of the EoS. Therefore, it suffices to determine λ within a simple model system. This has been done in Ref. [7], where it was shown that a thermodynamically consistent description of self-gravitating radiation in a spherical box is possible only if

$$\lambda = -2. \tag{13}$$

The negativity of λ implies that the entropy of the singularity is smaller than the entropy of a locally Minkowskian spacetime. This is expected, otherwise regular solutions would not be thermodynamically stable.

3 Equilibrium states via the MEP

It is convenient to express the thermodynamic properties of gravitating systems in terms of the 'free entropy' function, defined as

$$\Omega := S + \sum_a b_a N_a. \tag{14}$$

Ω is the Legendre transform of the entropy S with respect to the particle numbers N_a, for the different particle species. For details, see Ref. [2] and also the Appendix A.

For solutions to the TOV equation, Ω is a function of the mass M, the stellar radius r_B and the constants b_a,

$$\Omega(M, r_B, b_a) = \int_0^\infty \frac{4\pi r^2 dr}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{r}}} \frac{\rho + P}{T} - \frac{2\sqrt{2M_0}\eta}{T_\infty}, \tag{15}$$

where the entropy contribution from the singularity has been itaken into account. According to the MEP, physical solutions maximize the free entropy Ω with respect to r_B while M and b_a are fixed.

3.1 Equations of state

The implementation of the MEP requires the choice of a specific EoS. Our conclusions are independent of this choice as they invariably follow from several different EoS. In the main text, we implement the MEP for the original model of Oppenheimer and Volkoff [15] that describes a single species of free fermions with mass μ. The implementation of the MEP for other EoS is given in the Appendix C.

The ideal gas of free relativistic fermions is described by the following equations of state

$$n = \frac{8D}{\mu}\left[t^{3/2}F_{\frac{1}{2}}(t, b-t^{-1}) + t^{5/2}F_{\frac{3}{2}}(t, b-t^{-1})\right] \tag{16}$$

$$P = \frac{16D}{3}\left[t^{5/2}F_{\frac{3}{2}}(t, b-t^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}t^{7/2}F_{\frac{5}{2}}(t, b-t^{-1})\right] \tag{17}$$

$$\rho = \mu n + 8D\left[t^{5/2}F_{\frac{3}{2}}(t, b-t^{-1}) + t^{7/2}F_{\frac{5}{2}}(t, b-t^{-1})\right], \tag{18}$$

for the number density n, the pressure P and the energy density ρ; $t = T/\mu$, $D = \frac{\mu^4}{8\pi^2\hbar^3}$ and F_α stands for the generalized Fermi integral

$$F_\alpha(t, s) = \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^\alpha\sqrt{2+tx}}{e^{x-s}+1}. \tag{19}$$

The regime of highly degenerate fermions corresponds to $t << 1$ and $b >> 1$. In this regime, $F_\alpha(t, s)$ is strongly suppressed for $s < 0$. This implies that n, P and ρ manifest a sharp drop as $Y := bt$ decreases from values higher than unity to values smaller than unity. Within an excellent approximation, we can set n, P and ρ to zero for $Y < 1$. Furthermore, since $b >> 1$, s in Eq. (19) is also much larger than unity for all but a small range of values of t around b^{-1}. Thus, we approximate

$$F_\alpha(t, s) \simeq \int_0^{b-t^{-1}} x^\alpha\sqrt{2+tx}, \tag{20}$$

to obtain

$$n = \frac{D}{\mu}\nu(Y), \quad P = D\upsilon(Y), \quad \rho = Du(Y), \tag{21}$$

where $D = \frac{\mu^4}{8\pi^2\hbar^3}$ and

$$\nu(Y) = \frac{8}{3}(Y^2-1)^{3/2} \tag{22}$$

$$\upsilon(Y) = \left[\frac{1}{3}Y\sqrt{Y^2-1}(2Y^2-5) + \sinh^{-1}\sqrt{Y^2-1}\right] \tag{23}$$

$$\rho(Y) = D\left[Y\sqrt{Y^2-1}(2Y^2-1) - \sinh^{-1}\sqrt{Y^2-1}\right], \tag{24}$$

for $Y \geq 1$. Note that in this regime, $\frac{\rho+P}{T} = bn$.

The above expressions for n, P and ρ are standardly given as corresponding to $T = 0$ (and consequently to infinite b). Here, we view them as the dominant terms for small but non-vanishing temperature and large but finite b. Keeping T non-zero and b non-infinite is important for the consistent thermodynamic description of the system.

In this regime, the implementation of the MEP becomes particularly simple. We will show that the structure equations become b-independent, and the free entropy (15) depends non-trivially only on two variables.

3.2 Implementation of the MEP

The structure equations (2—4) become

$$\frac{dw}{dx} = x^2 u(Y) \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{dY}{dx} = -\frac{Y(w + x^3\upsilon(Y))}{x^2(1-\frac{2w}{x})}, \tag{26}$$

where we used the dimensionless variables $w = \sqrt{4\pi D}m$, and $x = \sqrt{4\pi D}r$.

Next, we integrate Eqs. (26—26) from the boundary $x = x_B$ inwards, setting $w_B = w(x_B)$ and $Y(x_B) = 0$. The local temperature at the stellar surface is equal to μ/b, hence, $T_\infty = \frac{\mu}{b}\sqrt{1-\frac{2w_B}{x_B}}$.

Fig. 1 shows the characteristic spiral curve that corresponds to the set of all regular solutions of Eqs. (26—26) on the plane that is defined by the compactness parameter $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ and the dimensionless mass w_B. The maximum mass of regular solutions (OVL) corresponds to the point D with $w_{max} \simeq 0.153$.

In singular solutions, w takes negative values near the singularity, which implies that near the singularity, Y decreases with decreasing x. Eventually $Y(x_0) = 1$ at some point x_0. For $x < x_0$, u and υ vanish. Thus, in the regime considered here, the singularity is surrounded by a sphere of dimensionless radius x_0 with no matter.



Figure 1: The spiral is the set of regular solutions that is defined by a functional relation between the ratio $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ and the dimensionless mass w_B. Different regular solutions may have the same value of mass (e.g. points B_1 and B_2). The point D corresponds to the maximum value of mass, $w_{max} \simeq 0.153$. Points E, F and G correspond to singular equilibrium solutions identified by the MEP.

For $x < x_0$, w is constant, $w = -w_0$, where $w_0 = \sqrt{4\pi D} M_0$. Eq. (26) implies that for $x < x_0$, $Y = \sqrt{\frac{1+2w_0/x_0}{1+2w_0/x}}$.

Since $L = T_\infty b/(Y\mu)$, we identify,

$$\eta = \frac{T_\infty b}{\mu(4\pi D)^{1/4}} \sqrt{\frac{2w_0}{1+\frac{2w_0}{x_0}}} \tag{27}$$

Thus, the singularity entropy (11) becomes

$$S_{sing} = -4\frac{b}{\mu\sqrt{4\pi D}} \frac{w_0}{\sqrt{1+\frac{2w_0}{x_0}}}, \tag{28}$$

and is straightforwardly evaluated for any solution after x_0 has been numerically determined.

The free entropy becomes

$$\Omega(M, r_B, b) = \frac{b}{\mu\sqrt{4\pi D}} H(w_B, x_B), \tag{29}$$

where

$$H(w_B, x_B) = \int_{x_0}^{x_B} dx \frac{x^2\nu(x)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2w(x)}{x}}} - 4\frac{w_0}{\sqrt{1+\frac{2w_0}{x_0}}}. \tag{30}$$

For $w_B < w_{max}$, the local maxima of the free entropy correspond to regular solutions of the TOV equation. This is shown in Fig. 2.a, where $H(w_B, \chi_B)$ is plotted as a

function of χ_B, while keeping w_B constant. If several local maxima exist, the one with the lower value of χ is the global maximum and, thus, defines the equilibrium state. All other local maxima correspond to metastable states. Thus, we demonstrated that *the regularity condition arises as a consequence of the MEP*.

The MEP also applies to solutions with $w_B > w_{max}$. The function $H(w_B, \chi_B)$ has a unique maximum for each choice of w_B. This maximum identifies a *singular* solution as an equilibrium state—see, Fig. 2.b. Thus, there is a new phase of thermodynamically stable solutions to the TOV equation with masses *higher than the OVL* that we named 'singularity stars'. From a thermodynamic perspective, the OVL is the critical point for the transition between the singularity-star phase and the regular-star phase.

The above results were faithfully reproduced by all physically meaningful EoS that we tested—some examples are presented in the Appendix C. Only one restriction was found: the EoS should be such that $P \leq \frac{1}{3}\rho$ as $\rho \to \infty$, i.e., the pressure should not exceed the pressure of an ultra-relativistic ideal gas. One expects that this condition is satisfied by any asymptotically free quantum field theory. For an EoS with $P > \frac{1}{3}\rho$, regular solutions remain local maxima of the free entropy, but they are no longer global maxima. The free entropy blows up as $\chi \to 1$ suggesting that the only stable states are black holes.

A thermodynamic comparison to black holes suggests an upper limit to the masses of singularity stars. In Fig. 3, we plot the free entropy H_{eq} of the equilibrium solutions as a function of the w_B/w_{max}. H_{eq} is continuous across the transition point $w_B/w_{max} = 1$, increases for a while, and then decreases to become negative at $w_- \simeq 2.5 w_{max}$. Thus, the free entropy of a singularity star with $w > w_-$ is always smaller than the free entropy of a black hole of the same mass (which equals the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [2]). In this sense, w_- estimates the maximum mass of a singularity star.

All EoS that we considered lead to values of w_-/w_{max} between 2.5 and 3. This suggests that the maximum mass of a singularity star is at most three times the OVL. Even with this upper bound, a significant fraction of black hole candidates may turn out to be singularity stars.

4 Conclusions

We showed that the regularity condition for regular compact stars arises as a consequence of the MEP, and that the MEP also distinguishes singular equilibrium solutions with masses higher than the OVL. The results do not depend on any specific choice of the EoS. Thus, the existence of a singular-star phase in addition to the, already known, regular-star phase is a generic feature of self-gravitating systems in thermodynamic equilibrium.

Our sole assumption in deriving the above result is that spacetime boundaries (such as the singularities considered here) ought to be assigned entropy, in accordance to well accepted rules that originate from black hole thermodynamics. The prediction of singularity stars involves no assumptions about the behavior of nuclear matter at



Figure 2: The normalized free entropy $H(w_B, x_B)$ of Eq. (29) as a function of $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ for constant w_B. In Fig. 2.a, $w_B \leq w_{max}$, and the global maxima are regular solutions. In Fig. 2.b, $w_B \geq w_{max}$, and the global maxima are singular solutions. The entropy maxima are labeled by letters that correspond to the points of the (w_B, χ) plane in Fig. 1.



Figure 3: The normalized free entropy H_{eq} of the equilibrium solutions is plotted as a function of the ratio w_B/w_{max}. Thermodynamic stability is manifested in the concavity of the curve. The free entropy is continuous across the transition point $w_B = w_{max}$, but its first derivative is discontinuous.

higher densities, no exotic forms of matter and no dynamical modifications of General Relativity. It is a robust prediction, in spite of the relative simplicity of the models.

Of course, further research is needed, in order to strengthen the case for singularity stars. It is important to prove that (at least some) singularity stars arise as asymptotic states of gravitational collapse and that they are stable under dynamical perturbations. Furthermore, the present analysis must be generalized to rotating solutions of Einstein's equations.

If singularity stars exist, they would provide the first, albeit indirect, confirmation of key ideas from black hole thermodynamics. In any case, a microscopic derivation of the singularity entropy is an interesting challenge to existing quantum gravity theories.

A Thermodynamic description of self-gravitating systems

In this section, we summarize some thermodynamic properties of self-gravitating systems in equilibrium [2].

We consider a static globally hyperbolic spacetime $M = \boldsymbol{R} \times \Sigma$ with four-metric

$$ds^2 = -L^2(x)dt^2 + h_{ij}(x)dx^i dx^j, \tag{31}$$

expressed in terms of the spatial coordinates x^i and the time coordinate t. L is the lapse function, and h_{ij} is a t-independent Riemannian three-metric on the surfaces Σ_t of constant t. The time-like unit normal on Σ_t is $n_\mu = L\partial_\mu t$ and the extrinsic curvature tensor on Σ_t vanishes.

Let $C \subset \Sigma$ be a compact spatial region, with boundary $B = \partial C$. C contains an isotropic fluid in thermal and dynamical equilibrium, described by the stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho n_\mu n_\nu + P(g_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu n_\nu), \tag{32}$$

where $\rho(x)$ and $P(x)$ are the energy density and the pressure, respectively.

The continuity equation $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ for the metric (31) becomes

$$\frac{\nabla_i P}{\rho + P} = -\frac{\nabla_i L}{L}. \tag{33}$$

We assume that the fluid consists of k particle species. The associated particle-number densities $n_a(x)$, $a = 1, \ldots, k$, together with the energy density $\rho(x)$ define the thermodynamic state space. All local thermodynamic properties of the fluid are encoded in the entropy-density functional $s(\rho, n_a)$. The first law of thermodynamics for the fluid takes the form

$$Tds = d\rho - \sum_a \mu_a dn_a, \tag{34}$$

where $\mu_a = -T\frac{\partial s}{\partial n_a}$ is the chemical potential associated to particle species a and $T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \rho}\right)^{-1}$ is the local temperature. The pressure P is defined through the Euler equation

$$\rho + P - Ts - \sum_a \mu_a n_a = 0. \tag{35}$$

Combining Eqs. (35) and (34), we derive the Gibbs-Duhem relation, $dP = sdT + \sum_a n_a d\mu_a$.

We maximize the total entropy of matter $S = \int_C d^3x\sqrt{h}s(\rho, n_a)$ for fixed values of the total particle numbers in C, $N_a = \int_C d^3x\sqrt{h}n_a$. To this end, we vary the function

$$\Omega = S + \sum_a b_a N_a \tag{36}$$

with respect to n_a, where b_a are Lagrange multipliers. Ω is a Massieu function obtained by the Legendre transform of entropy, we will refer to it as the *free entropy* of the system.

Variation with respect to n_a

$$\delta\Omega = \sum_a \int_C d^3x\sqrt{h}\left(-\frac{\mu_a}{T} + b_a\right)\delta n_a = 0, \tag{37}$$

leads to $b_a = \frac{\mu_a}{T}$. Hence, for equilibrium configurations the thermodynamic variables $\frac{\mu_a}{T}$ are constant in C.

We introduce the free entropy density ω as the Legendre transform of the entropy density s with respect to n_a

$$\omega(\rho, b_a) := s - \sum_a \frac{\partial s}{\partial n_a} n_a = s + \sum_a b_a n_a = \frac{\rho + P}{T}. \tag{38}$$

so that

$$\Omega = \int_C d^3x\sqrt{h}\omega(\rho, b_a). \tag{39}$$

Substituting Eq. (38) into Eq. (34), we obtain

$$d\omega = \frac{d\rho}{T} - \sum_a n_a db_a. \tag{40}$$

It follows that $T^{-1} = \partial\omega/\partial\rho$ and $n_a = -\partial\omega/\partial b_a$. The Gibbs-Duhem relation becomes $dP = \omega dT + T\sum_a n_a db_a$.

Since the variables b_a are constant for entropy-maximizing configurations,

$$dP/dT = \omega = (P + \rho)/T. \tag{41}$$

Combining with Eq. (33), we obtain

$$\frac{\nabla_i T}{T} = -\frac{\nabla_i L}{L}, \tag{42}$$

which leads to Tolman's relation between local temperature and lapse function

$$LT = T_\infty, \tag{43}$$

where T_∞ is a constant, identified with the temperature as seen by an observer at infinity (where $L = 1$).

B Singularity entropy from Euclidean quantum gravity

In Euclidean Quantum Gravity, entropy and other thermodynamic variables are defined in terms of the Euclidean action associated to solutions of the classical equations of motion. We therefore evaluate the action functional for the singular solutions to the TOV equations described in the main text.

B.1 The action for a self-gravitating perfect fluid

Relativistic hydrodynamics can be formulated as a Lagrangian field theory, so that the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations coincide with Einstein's equations for a perfect fluid. Here, we follow the formulation due to Kijowski, Smolski and Gornicka [14].

We consider a globally hyperbolic spacetime, described by a Lorentzian metric g and a spacetime manifold M with topology $\boldsymbol{R} \times \Sigma$. The field variables for the fluid are maps $\zeta : M \to \boldsymbol{R} \times Z$, represented by four scalar fields $\zeta^0(x), \zeta^1(x), \zeta_2(x), \zeta^3(x)$. Z is a matter space, each points correspond to material particles.

We define the particle current $j^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\zeta^1_\nu\zeta^2_\rho\zeta^3_\sigma$, where $\zeta^i_\nu = \partial\zeta^i/\partial x^\nu$. We express the current as $j^\mu = nu^\mu$, where $n = \sqrt{-j_\mu j^\mu}$ is the particle number density and u^μ is the unit four-vector of fluid flow. We also define express the local temperature T as a function of ζ^0, as $T = u^\mu\partial_\mu\zeta^0$.

Next, we consider the action functional

$$I_{mat}[g,\zeta] = -\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}f(n,T), \tag{44}$$

where $f(n,T)$ is the Helmholtz free energy density. Variation with respect to ζ^0 and ζ^i leads to the conservation equation

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{45}$$

for the perfect-fluid stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu + (g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu)P \tag{46}$$

and to the conservation equation for entropy

$$u^\mu\partial_\mu s = 0. \tag{47}$$

The pressure P and the entropy density s are defined as derivatives of f: $P = n^2(\partial f/\partial n)_T$ and $s = -(\partial f/\partial T)_n$.

A self-gravitating fluid on a spacetime M with a boundary ∂M is described by the action

$$I[g,\zeta] = \frac{1}{16}\pi\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}R - \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}f(n,T) + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\partial M} d^3y\sqrt{|\gamma|}(K - K_{ref}). \tag{48}$$

The boundary term in the action (48) is included so that the variational principle is well-defined [13, 5]; y are coordinates in ∂M, γ is the induced metric on ∂M and K is the trace of the extrinsic curvature at the boundary. K_{ref} is the trace of the extrinsic curvature of ∂M with respect to a reference metric. The term containing K_{ref} is included in Eq. (48) in order to make the total action finite.

Variation with respect to the metric leads to Einstein's equations $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$, where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is given by Eq. (46). For solutions to the equations of motion $R = -8\pi T_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi(-\rho + 3P)$, and the action (48) becomes

$$I[g,\zeta] = -\frac{1}{2}\int_M d^4x\sqrt{-g}(\rho + 3P) - \int_M d^4x\sqrt{-g}Ts + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\partial M}\sqrt{|\gamma|}(K - K_{ref}). \tag{49}$$

For a static metric of the form (31), Einstein's equations imply that $4\pi(\rho + 3P)L = \nabla_i \nabla^i L$, where ∇_i is the covariant derivative on the three-surface of constant t. Hence, the first term of Eq. (49) becomes $-\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_M d^4x\sqrt{h}\nabla_i\nabla^i L$, where h is the determinant of the three-metric h_{ij}. Using Tolman's law, the second term in Eq. (49) becomes $-T_\infty S_{mat}\int dt$, where S_{mat} is the total entropy of the fluid at a single moment of time

$$S_{mat} = \int_\Sigma d^3x\sqrt{h}s \tag{50}$$

B.2 The action for singular solutions

Next, we evaluate the action function to static spherically symmetric solutions to Einstein's equations. The associated metric is given by Eq. (1). The star's surface is defined by the condition $r = r_B$. For $r > r_B$, the solution is Schwarzschild, $m(r) = m(r_B) = M$ and $L(r) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}$. For $r < r_B$, L and m are solutions to the TOV equation.

The spacetime has topology $\boldsymbol{R} \times \Sigma$. The spatial manifold Σ is bounded by two spheres C_0 and C_1, defined by the conditions $r = r_0$ and $r = r_1$ respectively; C_0 is within and C_1 is outside the star. Eventually we will take the limits $r_0 \to 0$ and $r_1 \to \infty$. The boundary ∂M of M is $\boldsymbol{R} \times (C_0 \cup C_1)$.

The metric σ induced by (1) on a two-sphere of constant r is $d\sigma^2 = r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$. The three-dimensional vector q normal to the sphere is $q = \sqrt{1 - 2m(r)/r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$.

The action (49) for these solutions is a sum of four terms

$$I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \tag{51}$$

where

$$I_1 = -\left(\int dt\right)\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma d^3x\sqrt{h}\nabla_i\nabla^i L \tag{52}$$

$$I_2 = -\left(\int dt\right)T_\infty S_{mat} \tag{53}$$

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\int dt\right)\int_{C_1} d^2 s L\sqrt{\sigma}(K - K_1) \tag{54}$$

$$I_4 = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\int dt\right)\int_{C_0} d^2 s L\sqrt{\sigma}(K - K_0), \tag{55}$$

where s denotes coordinates on the two-sphere,

$$K = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}\left(-\frac{1}{L}\frac{dL}{dr} + \frac{2}{r}\right), \tag{56}$$

K_0 and K_1 are the values of K_{ref} near the surfaces $\boldsymbol{R} \times C_0$ and $\boldsymbol{R} \times C_1$, respectively. We also used the fact that $\sqrt{\gamma} = L\sqrt{\sigma}$.

By Gauss' law the integral in I_1 equals $\int_{C_1} d^2sq^i\nabla_i L - \int_{C_0} d^2xq^i\nabla_i L$. Taking the asymptotic expressions $L = \eta/\sqrt{r}$ for $r \to 0$ and $L = \sqrt{1-2M/r}$ for $r \to \infty$, we obtain

$$I_1 = -\left(\int dt\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}M + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2M_0}\eta\right) \tag{57}$$

The standard choice for K_1 in I_3 is $K_1 = 2L/r$ [5], so that $K - K_1 = -dL/dr$ as $r \to \infty$. Then,

$$I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\int dt\right)M. \tag{58}$$

The part of I_4 that involves the integral of K is finite and equal to $-\frac{5}{4}(\int dt)\sqrt{2M_0}\eta$. The problem is that there is no natural choice for K_0 in I_4. The usual prescription is that K_0 is defined by the embedding of the bounding surface into flat three space. But for $r = 0$, L diverges, so flat space near $r = 0$ corresponds to a spacetime metric with a curvature singularity, a highly unnatural choice for a reference configuration.

In principle, K_0 should be derived from a fundamental theory for the renormalization of the gravitational path-integral. However, its general form near $r = 0$ can be determined by a simple consistency argument.

First, we note that K_0 contributes finitely to I_4 only if it diverges like $r^{-3/2}$ near the singularity. We also note that K_0 should vanish for regular solutions, so it should be proportional to some power of M_0. K_0 has the physical interpretation of an extrinsic curvature, so it has dimensions of inverse length. The above conditions hold only if

$$K_0 = c\frac{\sqrt{2M_0}}{r^{3/2}}, \tag{59}$$

for some dimensionless constant c that remains undetermined.

Thus, we evaluate

$$I_4 = -\frac{5-2c}{4}\left(\int dt\right)\sqrt{2M_0}\eta, \tag{60}$$

to obtain

$$I = -\left(\int dt\right)\left(M + T_\infty S_{mat} + \lambda\sqrt{2M_0}\eta\right). \tag{61}$$

where we set $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(3-c)$.

Euclidean Quantum Gravity suggests a fundamental relation between the Helmholtz free energy associated to a static solution of Einstein's equations and the value of the Euclidean action for this solution. Given a static solution (g_0, ζ_0) to Einstein's equations, we define the associated Euclidean metric g_0^E by substituting the Killing

time t with $-i\tau$, where τ is a periodic coordinate with period β. Then, the Helmholtz free energy F associated to the solution (g_0, ζ_0) is

$$\beta F = I_E(g_0^E, \zeta_0), \tag{62}$$

where $I_E = -iI$ is the Euclidean action.

Using Eq.(61) to Eq. (62), we find

$$F = M + T_\infty S_{mat} + \lambda\sqrt{2M_0}\eta. \tag{63}$$

C Singularity stars for other EoS

In this section, we reproduce the results of the main text for several different EoS.

C.1 Interacting fermion gas

Let us denote Eqs. (16—18) for the number density n, the pressure P and the energy density ρ as $n = D\mu^{-1}\nu_0(Y), P = D\upsilon_0(Y)$ and $\rho = Du_0(Y)$. We note that EoS of the form

$$n = D\mu^{-1}\nu_0(Y) \tag{64}$$
$$P = D[\upsilon_0(Y) - z(Y)] \tag{65}$$
$$\rho = D[u_0(Y) + z(Y)], \tag{66}$$

for some function $z(Y)$, leads (i) to b-independent structure equations and (ii) to Eq. (30) for the free energy Ω.

The mean field theory for a fermion field interacting with a single scalar field through a Yukawa coupling leads to such an equation of state [16], with

$$z(Y) = \alpha\left[Y\sqrt{Y^2-1} - \sinh^{-1}\sqrt{Y^2-1}\right]^2, \tag{67}$$

where α is a dimensionless constant proportional to the square of the Yukawa coupling constant. This EoS is derived from a Lorentz invariant action, through an approximation that preserves Lorentz covariance.

Eq. (67) leads to a well defined EoS for $\alpha < \alpha_{max} = \frac{2}{3}$. For $\alpha > \alpha_{max}$, as Y becomes larger. In Fig. 4, we plot the spiral curve of regular solutions for $\alpha = 0$ and for $\alpha = 0.65$ (a value very close to α_{max}). The solutions almost coincide on the segment of the curve between the origin and w_{max}, but they differ significantly at the metastable configurations.

Next, we apply the MEP to solutions of the TOV equation for $\alpha = 0.65$. The corresponding Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit is $w_{max} \simeq 0.155$. Again, we find that for $w < w_{max}$, the MEP identifies regular solutions as equilibrium states and that for $w > w_{max}$, equilibrium states are singular—see, Fig. 5. In Fig. 6, we plot the equilibrium entropy as a function of w_B, and we find that the ratio w_-/w_{max} is about 2.7.



Figure 4: The compactness parameter $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ as a function of the dimensionless mass w_B for regular solutions characterized by the EoS (64—67). The two curves shown corresponds to $\alpha = 0$ and to $\alpha = 0.65$.



Figure 5: The free entropy $H(w_b, x_B)$ of Eq. (29) as a function of $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ for constant w_B, for the EoS (64—67). In Fig. 2.a, where $w_B \leq w_{max}$, global maxima correspond to regular solutions. In Fig. 2.b, $w_B \geq w_{max}$, and the global maxima correspond to singular solutions.



Figure 6: The free entropy H_{eq} of the equilibrium solutions as a function of the ratio w_B/w_{max} for the EoS (64—67).

C.2 Polytropic EoS

Next, we consider matter described by Gratton's EoS [17]

$$\rho = AP^{\gamma} + 3P, \tag{68}$$

where A is a positive constant and $0 < \gamma < 1$. The EoS (68) is polytropic at low densities; $\gamma = \frac{n}{n+1}$ where n is the standard polytropic index. For large ρ, the EoS describes ultra-relativistic particles.

Defining $\rho = \rho_0 u$ and $P = \rho_0 \upsilon$ with $\rho_0 = A^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}$, the EoS becomes

$$u = \upsilon^{\gamma} + 3\upsilon. \tag{69}$$

By Eq. (41), $\upsilon = \left[\frac{(T/T_0)^{4(1-\gamma)}-1}{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}$ for some constant T_0. Pressure is non-negative only for $T > T_0$. The EoS is thermodynamically consistent only if υ and u vanish for $T < T_0$. Thus, we express the EoS (68) as

$$\begin{aligned} t &> 1 : u = \frac{3}{4}(t^{4(1-\gamma)}+1)\left[\frac{t^{4(1-\gamma)}-1}{4}\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}}, \upsilon = \left[\frac{t^{4(1-\gamma)}-1}{4}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \\ t &< 1 : u = \upsilon = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

where $t = T/T_0$

We define the dimensionless variables $x = \sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}r$ and $w = \sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}m$. Then, Eqs. (2—4) become

$$\frac{dw}{dx} = x^2 u(t) \tag{71}$$

$$\frac{dt}{dx} = -\frac{t(w + x^3\upsilon(t))}{x^2(1-\frac{2w}{x})} \tag{72}$$



Figure 7: The compactness parameter $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ as a function of the dimensionless mass w_B for regular solutions with the EoS (70).

We integrate the equations from the boundary $x = x_B$ inwards with boundary conditions $w(x_B) = w_B$ and $t(x_B) = 1$. For singular solutions, t becomes unity at a finite distance $x = x_0$ from the singularity, so that for $x < x_0$, $t = \sqrt{\frac{1+2w_0/x_0}{1+2w_0/x}}$. Then, we evaluate the singularity entropy

$$S_{sing} = -\frac{4}{T_0\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}}\frac{w_0}{\sqrt{1+\frac{2w_0}{x_0}}}. \tag{73}$$

The free entropy (15) becomes $\Omega = \frac{1}{T_0\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}}H$, where

$$H = \left[\int_{x_0}^{x_B}\frac{t^{3-4\gamma}x^2dx}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2w}{x}}}\left[\frac{t^{4(1-\gamma)}-1}{4}\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}} - 4\frac{w_0}{\sqrt{1+\frac{2w_0}{x_0}}}\right]. \tag{74}$$

For $\gamma = \frac{3}{5}$, the EoS (70) at small ρ describes non-relativistic free fermions. There is little qualitative difference from the EoS of free relativistic particles. We focus on smaller values of γ that lead to to regular solutions with higher compactness parameters. In Fig. 7, we plot the spirals of the regular solutions for $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{3}$. The maximum masses are 0.435 and 0.265, respectively. The application of the MEP leads to the same results: equilibrium states are regular solutions for $w \leq w_{max}$ and singular for $w > w_{max}$—see Fig. 8. As shown in Fig. 9, the ratio w_-/w_{max} is about 2.5 for both values of γ.

C.3 MIT bag EoS

Next, we consider a toy EoS for quark matter, in an elementary version of the MIT bag model [18]. The model assumes massless and non-interacting quarks confined to a



Figure 8: The free entropy $H(w_B, x_B)$ for the EoS (70) as a function of $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$. In Figs. 2.a and 2.b, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$; In Figs. 2.c and 2.d, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. In both cases, global maxima correspond to regular solutions if $w_B \leq w_{max}$ and to singular solutions if $w_B > w_{max}$.



Figure 9: The free entropy H_{eq} of the equilibrium solutions for the EoS (70) with different values of γ as a function of the ratio w_B/w_{max}.

"bag" of the QCD vacuum. The confinement is described by the 'bag constant' $\mathcal{B}$ that determines the energy difference between the standard and the QCD vacua.

The EoS is

$$\begin{aligned} n &= C_2(b)T^3 && (75)\\ \rho &= C_3(b)T^4 + \mathcal{B} && (76)\\ P &= \frac{1}{3}C_3(b)T^4 - \mathcal{B}, && (77) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_n(b) = \frac{g}{\pi^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{x^n}{e^{x-b}+1}, \qquad (78)$$

and g is the number of independent quark species. Eqs. (75—77) imply that $P = \frac{1}{3}(\rho - \rho_0)$, where $\rho_0 = \frac{4}{3}\mathcal{B}$. Positivity of pressure implies that n vanishes for $T < T_0 := 3\mathcal{B}/C_3(b)$.

We write $\rho = \frac{\rho_0}{4}u(t)$ and $P = \frac{\rho_0}{4}\upsilon(t)$ in terms of the dimensionless temperature $t = T/T_0$. Then, the EoS reads

$$\begin{aligned} t &> 1 : u = 3t^4 + 1, \upsilon = t^4 - 1\\ t &< 1 : u = \upsilon = 0. \end{aligned} \qquad (79)$$

The boundary of the star is defined by $\rho(r_B) = \rho_0$. This implies that $T(r_B) = T_0$ and the temperature at infinity is $T_\infty = T_0\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r_B}}$.

We define the dimensionless variables $x = \sqrt{\pi\rho_0}r$ and $w = \sqrt{\pi\rho_0}m$. Then, Eqs. (2—4) become identical to Eqs. (71—72).

We integrate the equations from the boundary $x = x_B$ inwards with boundary conditions $w(x_B) = w_B$ and $t(x_B) = 1$. For singular solutions, t becomes unity at a finite distance $x = x_0$ from the singularity, so that for $x < x_0$, $t = \sqrt{\frac{1+2w_0/x_0}{1+2w_0/x}}$. The free entropy (15) becomes $\Omega = \frac{1}{T_0\sqrt{\pi\rho_0}}H$, where

$$H = \left[4\int_{x_0}^{x_B} \frac{t^3x^2dx}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2w(x)}{x}}} - 4\frac{w_0}{\sqrt{1+\frac{2w_0}{x_0}}}\right]. \qquad (80)$$

In Fig. 10, we plot the spiral curve of regular solutions. The maximum mass is found at $w_{max} \simeq 0.092$. Again, we find that for $w < w_{max}$, the MEP identifies regular solutions as equilibrium states and that for $w > w_{max}$, equilibrium states are singular—see Fig. 11. In Fig. 12, we plot the free entropy of the equilibrium solutions as a function of w_B/w_{max} and we find $w_-/w_{max} \simeq 2.7$.



Figure 10: The compactness parameter $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ as a function of the dimensionless mass w_B for regular solutions with the EoS (79).



Figure 11: The free entropy $H(w_B, x_B)$ for the EoS (79) as a function of $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ for constant w_B. In Fig. 2.a, $w_B \leq w_{max}$, global maxima correspond to regular solutions. In Fig. 2.b, $w_B \geq w_{max}$, all global maxima correspond to singular solutions.



Figure 12: The free entropy H_{eq} of the equilibrium solutions for the EoS (79) as a function of the ratio w_B/w_{max}.

C.4 The asymptotic behavior of the EoS

The determination of the conditions that an EoS must satisfy in order to be compatible with relativity is an old problem. The causality condition $|\partial P/\partial\rho| \leq 1$ is well accepted, since it guarantees that the speed of sound on the material never exceeds the speed of light. Other conditions have been suggested but they are not universally accepted. For example, Landau and Lifschitz proposed [19] that

$$P \leq \frac{1}{3}\rho. \tag{81}$$

This follows from the assumption that for sufficiently high energy all particles behave like massless particles even in presence of interactions. Counterexamples exist [20, 21]; however, theories characterized by asymptotic freedom are expected to satisfy (81) for $\rho \to \infty$.

Relativistic constraints to the EoS, other than causality, do not usually enter the models of compact stars. Potential violations would typically appear in a regime of high densities, well beyond the regime of interest. However, here we study *virtual* solutions to the Einstein equations, very far from thermodynamic equilibrium, in which densities may take extreme values, and the asymptotic behavior of the equation of state as $\rho \to \infty$ is important. In particular, in singular solutions to the TOV equation with compactness parameter χ very close to unity, matter is concentrated in very thin shells at extreme gravitational blue-shifts. As $\chi \to 1$, the local density goes to infinity, so the asymptotic structure of the equation of state is directly relevant.

In all examples that we have considered so far, the free entropy Ω remains finite as $\chi \to 1$ for fixed M, and these values are much smaller than the global maximum. However, the solutions as $\chi \to 1$ are sensitive to the high density behavior of the EoS. An unusual behavior of the EoS at high densities could lead to Ω blowing up as $\chi \to 1$. Then, the equilibrium state will correspond to $\chi = 1$. Regular solutions will be metastable states, rather than equilibrium states. For example, this happens for

the EoS of the non-relativistic free fermion gas with a causality-violating asymptotic behavior, $P \to \rho^{5/3}$.

In order to examine this issue we consider EoS of the form $P = \frac{1}{a}(\rho - \rho_0)$ for constants ρ_0 and $a \geq 1$. For $a = 3$, this EoS coincides with the MIT bag model. For $a = 1$, it is identical with the equation of state for the 'most compact' star considered in Ref. [22].

As in the model of Sec. E.3, a transition temperature T_0 appears as an integration constant. Writing $t = t/T$, $\rho = \frac{\rho_0}{1+a}u(t)$, and $P = \frac{\rho_0}{1+a}\upsilon(t)$, the EoS becomes

$$t > 1 : u = at^{1+a} + 1, \upsilon = t^{1+a} - 1 \tag{82}$$

$$t < 1 : u = \upsilon = 0. \tag{83}$$

We define the dimensionless variables $x = \sqrt{4\pi\rho_0/(a+1)}r$, and $w = \sqrt{\pi\rho_0/(a+1)}m$ Then, Eqs. (2—4) coincide with Eqs. (71, 72), and the free entropy becomes $\Omega = \frac{1}{T_0\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0/(a+1)}}H$, where

$$H = \left[(a+1)\int_{x_0}^{x_B} \frac{t^a x^2 dx}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2w}{x}}} - 4\frac{w_0}{\sqrt{1+\frac{2w_0}{x_0}}}\right]. \tag{84}$$

The implementation of the MEP for $a = 1$ is shown in Fig. 13. Regular solutions still define local maxima of the free entropy, but these maxima are no longer global, because the free entropy diverges as $\chi \to 1$. Matter with this EoS cannot form stable compact stars, because they have always less entropy from the black-hole-like configurations at $\chi = 1$. We find that this behavior persists for values of $a < 3$. For $a \geq 3$, we recover the results presented in the main text and in the previous sections.

There are two possible interpretations of this result. Either relativistic consistency implies Eq. (81) as $\rho \to \infty$ (a result that remains to be proven from first principles), or forms of matter that violate (81) may exist, but they cannot form stable compact stars.

Finally, we note that any EoS that is employed for neutron stars can also be employed for the study of singularity stars, as long as a high density cut-off ρ_c is included so that Eq. (81) is enforced for all $\rho > \rho_c$.

References

[1] J. Katz and Y. Manor, Entropy Extremum of Relativistic Self-Bound Systems: A Geometric Approach, Phys. Rev. D12, 956 (1975).

[2] N. Savvidou and C. Anastopoulos, The Thermodynamics of Self-gravitating Systems in Equilibrium is Holographic, Class. Quant. Grav. 31, 055003 (2014).



Figure 13: The free entropy $H(w_B, x_B)$ of Eq. (84) for $a = 1$ as a function of $\chi = 2w_B/x_B$ for constant w_B. Regular solutions correspond to local maxima of the free entropy; however, they are not global maxima, because the free entropy diverges as $\chi \to 1$.

[3] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics* (John Wiley, New York, 1985).

[4] S. W. Hawking, The Path-Integral Approach to Quantum Gravity, in *General Relativity: an Einstein Centenary Survey*, edited by S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, 1979).

[5] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity, Phys. Rev. D15, 2752 (1977).

[6] R. M. Wald, Black Hole Entropy is Noether Charge, Phys. Rev. D48, 3427 (1993).

[7] C. Anastopoulos and N. Savvidou, Entropy of Singularities in Self-Gravitating Radiation, Class. Quant. Grav. 29, 025004 (2012).

[8] W. H. Zurek and D. N. Page, Black-hole Thermodynamics and Singular Solutions of the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff Equation, Phys. Rev. D29, 628 (1984).

[9] C. Anastopoulos and N. Savvidou, The thermodynamics of a black hole in equilibrium implies the breakdown of Einstein equations on a macroscopic near-horizon shell, JHEP 144 (2016).

[10] H. C. Kim, Classifying self-gravitating radiations, Phys. Rev. D 95, 044021 (2017).

[11] J. Smoller and B. Temple, On the OppenheimerVolkoff Equations in General Relativity, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 142, 177 (1998).

[12] S. W. Hawking and G. R. F. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1973).

[13] J. W. York, Role of Conformal Three-Geometry in the Dynamics of Gravitation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 1082 (1972).

[14] J. Kijowski, A. Smolski and A. Gornicka, Hamiltonian Theory of Self-Gravitating Perfect Fluid and a Method of Effective Deparametrization of Einstein's Theory of Gravitation, Phys. Rev. D 41, 1875 (1990).

[15] J.R. Oppenheimer and G.M. Volkoff, On Massive Neutron Cores, Phys. Rev. 55, 374 (1939).

[16] S. A. Chin and J. D. Walecka, An Equation of State for Nuclear and Higher-Density Matter Based on Relativistic Mean-Field Theory, Phys. Lett. 52B, 24 (1974).

[17] L. Gratton, Rend. Accad. Nasionale dei Lincei 38, 354 (1964).

[18] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C. B. Thorn and V. F. Weisskopf, New Extended Model of Hadrons, Phys. Rev. D9, 3471 (1974).

[19] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, 4th edition (Pergamon Press, 1975), pg. 87.

[20] Y. B. Zel'dovich, Equation of State at a Superhigh Density and Relativistic Restrictions, JETP 41, 1609 (1961).

[21] R. P. Geroch and D. J. Hegyi, Relativistic Equations of State, Nature 215, 501 (1967).

[22] S. Koranda, N. Stergioulas and J. L. Friedman, Upper Limits Set by Causality on the Rotation and Mass of Uniformly Rotating Relativistic Stars, Ap. J. 488, 799 (1997).